arXiv:1701.03351v2 [math.CV] 10 Feb 2017

ON NEVANLINNA - CARTAN THEORY FOR HOLOMORPHIC CURVES WITH TSUJI CHARACTERISTICS

NGUYEN VAN THIN

Abstract. In this paper, we prove some fundamental theorems for holomorphic curves on $\overline{\Omega}(\alpha,\beta)$, $\Omega(\alpha,\beta)$ intersecting a hypersurface, finite set of fixed hyperplanes in general position and finite set of fixed hypersurfaces in general position on complex projective variety with the level of truncation. As applications of the second main theorems for an angle, we will discuss the uniqueness problem of holomorphic curves in an angle instead of the whole complex plane. Detail, we establish a result for uniqueness problem of holomorphic curve by inverse image of a hypersurface. In my knowledge, this is the first result for uniqueness problem of holomorphic curve by inverse image of hypersurface on angular domain. When $\Omega(\alpha,\beta)=\mathbb{C}$, we obtain a uniqueness result for holomorphic curves, it is improvement of some results before [5, 10] in this trend.

1. Introduction and main results

We denote $\Omega(\alpha,\beta)=\{z:\alpha<argz<\beta\}$ by the angle on complex plane, where $0<\beta-\alpha\leq 2\pi$. Then, $\Omega(\alpha,\beta)$ is called an angular domain on complex plane. The Nevanlinna second main theorem for an angle was used in [17, 4, 6, 7, 22, 20], and [19] to investigate the growth of meromorphic functions with some radially distributed values. The usage of the second main theorem produces a basic and elementary method in the topic [20]. In [19], in view of the Tsuji second main theorem, we established a five-value uniqueness theorem and four-value uniqueness theorem for meromorphic functions in an angle. In 2015, J. Zheng [21] established the value distribution of holomorphic curves on an angular domain from the point of view of potential theory and established the first and second fundamental theorems corresponding to those theorems of Ahlfors-Shimizu, Nevanlinna, and Tsuji on meromorphic functions in an angular domain. We refer readers to [21] for comments on the results of the value distribution of holomorphic curves on an angular domain. These results motivate us to consider the case of holomorphic curves on $\overline{\Omega}(\alpha,\beta)$, $\Omega(\alpha,\beta)$ intersecting hypersurfaces. In this paper, we prove the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32H30.

Key words: Algebraic variety, General position, Hypersurface, Nevanlinna theory, Tsuji characteristics.

fundamental theorems for holomorphic mappings from $\overline{\Omega}(\alpha,\beta)$, $\Omega(\alpha,\beta)$ to $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ intersecting a hypersurface, finite set of fixed hyperplanes in general position and finite set of fixed hypersurfaces in general position on complex projective variety with the level of truncation and the Nevanlinna functions have the form of Tsuji characteristics.

We denote by $k=\dfrac{\pi}{\beta-\alpha}$, and for any pair of real numbers α and β in $[0,2\pi)$ with $0<\beta-\alpha\leq 2\pi$,

$$\Xi(\alpha,\beta;r)=\{z=te^{i\theta}:\alpha<\theta<\beta,1<t\leq r(sin(k(\theta-\alpha)))^{1/k}\}.$$

Let $f:\Omega(\alpha,\beta)\to\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a holomorphic curve. Let $\mathrm{f}=(f_0:\cdots:f_n)$ be a reduced representation of f, where $f_0,\ldots,f_n$ are holomorphic functions and without common zeros in $\Omega(\alpha,\beta)$. Set $||\mathrm{f}(z)||=\max\{|f_0(z)|,\ldots,|f_n(z)|\}$. Let D be a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ of degree d. Let Q be the homogeneous polynomial of degree d defining D. Under the assumption that $Q(\mathrm{f})\not\equiv 0$, the counting function $\mathfrak{N}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,D)$ of f with respect to D is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{N}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,D)&=k\int_1^r\frac{\mathfrak{n}_{\alpha\beta,f}(t,D)}{t^{k+1}}dt\\&=\sum_{1<|a_n|<r(sin(k(\theta-\alpha)))^{1/k}}(\frac{sink(\alpha_n-\alpha)}{|a_n|^k}-\frac{1}{r^k}),\end{aligned}$$

where the $\mathfrak{n}_{\alpha\beta,f}(t,D)$ are the number zeros of $Q(\mathrm{f})$ in the set $\Xi(\alpha,\beta;r)$ counting with multiplicity and $a_n=|a_n|e^{i\alpha_n}$ are zeros of $Q(\mathrm{f})$ in the set $\Xi(\alpha,\beta;r)$.

The *proximity function* of f on $\Omega(\alpha,\beta)$ with respect to D is defined as following:

$$\mathfrak{m}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,D)=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{arcsinr^{-k}}^{\pi-arcsinr^{-k}}\log\frac{\|\mathrm{f}(rsin^{k^{-1}}\varphi e^{i(\alpha+k^{-1}\varphi)})\|^d}{|Q(\mathrm{f})(rsin^{k^{-1}}\varphi e^{i(\alpha+k^{-1}\varphi)})|}\frac{d\varphi}{r^ksin^2\varphi}.$$

Now let δ be a positive integer, the *truncated counting function* of f is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{N}^{\delta}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,D)&=k\int_1^r\frac{\mathfrak{n}^{\delta}(t,D)}{t^{k+1}}dt\\&=\sum_{1<|a_n|<r(sin(k(\theta-\alpha)))^{1/k},\ \min\{\mathrm{ord}_{Q(\mathrm{f})}(a_n),\delta\}}(\frac{sink(\alpha_n-\alpha)}{|a_n|^k}-\frac{1}{r^k}),\end{aligned}$$

where the $\mathfrak{n}^{\delta}(t,D)$ are the number zeros of $Q(\mathrm{f})$, any zero of multiplicity greater than δ of $Q(\mathrm{f})$ in $\Xi(\alpha,\beta;r)$ is "truncated" and counted as if it only had multiplicity δ.

Let $f:\overline{\Omega}(\alpha,\beta)\to\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a holomorphic map. Let $\mathrm{f}=(f_0:\cdots:f_n)$ be a reduced representation of f, where $f_0,\ldots,f_n$ are holomorphic functions and without common zeros in $\overline{\Omega}(\alpha,\beta)$. The counting function $C_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,D)$ of f with

respect to D is defined as

$$C_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,D)=2\sum_{1\leq\rho_n\leq r,\alpha\leq\psi_n\leq\beta}(\frac{1}{{\rho_n}^k}-\frac{{\rho_n}^k}{r^{2k}})sin\ \ k(\psi_n-\alpha),$$

where the $\rho_n e^{i\psi_n}$ are the zeros of $Q(\mathrm{f})$ in $\overline{\Omega}(\alpha,\beta)$ counting with multiplicity. For each zero $\rho_n e^{i\psi_n}$ of $Q(\mathrm{f})$ in $\overline{\Omega}(\alpha,\beta)$ with multiple m, then term $2(\frac{1}{{\rho_n}^k}-\frac{{\rho_n}^k}{r^{2k}})sin\ \ k(\psi_n-\alpha)$ is counted m times in $C_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,D)$.

Now let δ be a positive integer, the *truncated counting function* of f is defined by

$$C^{\delta}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,D)=2\sum_{1\leq\rho_n\leq r,\alpha\leq\psi_n\leq\beta,\ \min\{\mathrm{ord}_{Q(\mathrm{f})}(\rho_n e^{i\psi_n}),\delta\}}(\frac{1}{{\rho_n}^k}-\frac{{\rho_n}^k}{r^{2k}})sin\ \ k(\psi_n-\alpha),$$

where any zero of multiplicity greater than δ of $Q(\mathrm{f})$ in $\overline{\Omega}(\alpha,\beta)$ is "truncated" and counted as if it only had multiplicity δ. This means that for each zero $\rho_n e^{i\psi_n}$ of $Q(f)$ in $\overline{\Omega}(\alpha,\beta)$ with multiple m, the terms $2(\frac{1}{{\rho_n}^k}-\frac{{\rho_n}^k}{r^{2k}})sin\ \ k(\psi_n-\alpha)$ is counted $\min\{m,\delta\}$ times in $C_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,D)$.

The *angular proximity Nevanlinna* of f with respect to D is defined as following:

$$A_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,D)=\frac{k}{\pi}\int_1^r(\frac{1}{t^k}-\frac{t^k}{r^{2k}})\log\frac{\|\mathrm{f}(te^{i\alpha})\|^d\|\mathrm{f}(te^{i\beta})\|^d}{|Q(\mathrm{f})(te^{i\alpha})Q(\mathrm{f})(re^{i\beta})|}\frac{dt}{t}$$

and

$$B_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,D)=\frac{2k}{\pi r^k}\int_{\alpha}^{\beta}\log\frac{\|\mathrm{f}(re^{i\varphi})\|^d}{|Q(\mathrm{f})(re^{i\varphi})|}sin(k(\varphi-\alpha))d\varphi,$$

where $\|f(z)\|=\max\{|f_0(z)|,\ldots,|f_n(z)|\}$.

Let $V\subset\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a smooth complex projective variety of dimension $n\geq 1$. Let $D_1,\ldots,D_q$ be hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$, where $q>n$. The hypersurfaces $D_1,\ldots,D_q$ are said to be *in general position on* V if for every subset $\{i_0,\ldots,i_n\}\subset\{1,\ldots,q\}$, we have

$$V\cap\mathrm{Supp}D_{i_0}\cap\cdots\cap\mathrm{Supp}D_{i_n}=\emptyset,$$

where $\mathrm{Supp}(D)$ means the support of the divisor D. A map $f:\Omega(\alpha,\beta)\to V$ is said to be *algebraically nondegenerate* if the image of f is not contained in any proper subvarieties of V.

In this paper, a notation "$\|$" in the inequality is mean that the inequality holds for $r\in(1,\infty)$ outside a set with measure finite.

Our main results are

Theorem 1. *Let D be a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ and $f : \overline{\Omega}(\alpha,\beta) \to \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a holomorphic curve whose image is not contained D. Then we have for any $1 < r < \infty$,*

$$dS_{\alpha\beta,f}(r) = A_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,D) + B_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,D) + C_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,D) + O(1).$$

Theorem 2. *Let D be a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ and $f : \Omega(\alpha,\beta) \to \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a holomorphic curve whose image is not contained D. Then we have for any $1 < r < \infty$,*

$$d\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r) = \mathfrak{m}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,Q) + \mathfrak{N}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,Q) + O(1).$$

Taking $d = 1$, we get the following results:

Corollary 1. *Let H be a hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ and $f : \overline{\Omega}(\alpha,\beta) \to \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a holomorphic curve whose image is not contained H. Then we have for any $1 < r < \infty$,*

$$S_{\alpha\beta,f}(r) = A_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,H) + B_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,H) + C_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,H) + O(1).$$

Corollary 2. *Let H be a hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ and $f : \Omega(\alpha,\beta) \to \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a holomorphic curve whose image is not contained H. Then we have for any $1 < r < \infty$,*

$$\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r) = \mathfrak{m}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,H) + \mathfrak{N}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,H) + O(1).$$

Theorem 3. *Let $f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a linearly non-degenerate holomorphic curve and $H_1,\ldots,H_q$ be hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ in general position. Then we have*

$$\| \quad (q-n-1)S_{\alpha\beta,f}(r) \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{q} C^n_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,H_j) + O(\log T_f(r) + \log r).$$

Theorem 4. *Let $f : \Omega(\alpha,\beta) \to \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a linearly non-degenerate holomorphic curve and $H_1,\ldots,H_q$ be hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ in general position. Then we have*

$$\| \quad (q-n-1)\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r) \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{q} \mathfrak{N}^{\mathfrak{n}}{}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,H_j) + O(\log \mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r) + \log r).$$

Theorem 5. *Let $f : \Omega(\alpha,\beta) \to \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be an algebraically nondegenerate holomorphic curve. Let d and n be two integers with $n > N(d+N+1)$. Let $\mathcal{H}_i = \{z \in \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{H}_i(z) = 0\}, 0 \leq i \leq N$, be hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$. Let $D_i = \{z \in \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C}), Q_i(z) = 0\}, 0 \leq i \leq N$, be hypersurfaces of degree d such that the hypersurfaces $\{\mathcal{H}_0^n Q_0 = 0\},\ldots,\{\mathcal{H}_N^n Q_N = 0\}$ are in general position in*

$\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$. *Let* $D = \{z \in \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C}), \sum_{i=0}^N \mathcal{H}_i^n Q_i = 0\}$. *Then*

$$\|(n-(d+N+1)N)\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r) + \sum_{i=0}^{N}(\mathfrak{N}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,D_i) - \mathfrak{N}^N_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,D_i)) \leq \mathfrak{N}^N_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,D) + o(\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r)).$$

We give a hypersurfaces satisfying Theorem 5.

Example 6. Let $D_i = \{z = (x_0 : \cdots : x_N) \in \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C}), x_i^d = 0\}, 0 \leq i \leq N$, be hypersurfaces of degree d. Let $\mathcal{H}_i = \{z = (x_0 : \cdots : x_N) \in \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C}), \sum_{t=0}^i x_t = 0\}$. We see that the hypersurfaces $\{(\sum_{t=0}^i x_t)^n x_i^d = 0\}, 0 \leq i \leq N$, are in general position in $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$. Then

$$D = \{z \in \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C}), \sum_{i=0}^{N}(\sum_{t=0}^{i} x_t)^n x_i^d = 0\}$$

satisfies the Theorem 5 with $n > N(d+N+1)$.

As an application of Theorem 5, we prove the uniqueness theorem for holomorphic curves on angular domain by inverse image of a hypersurface.

Theorem 7. *Let* $f, g : \Omega(\alpha,\beta) \to \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ *be two algebraically nondegenerate holomorphic curves, and* n *be a integer with* $n > N(d+N+3)$. *Let* D *be a hypersurface as the same Theorem 5. Suppose that* $f(z) = g(z)$ *on* $f^{-1}(D) \cup g^{-1}(D)$, *then* $f \equiv g$.

In my knowledge, up to now, Theorem 7 is a first result for uniqueness problem of holomorphic curve by inverse image of a hypersurface on angular domain.

When $\alpha = 0, \beta = 2\pi$, this means $\Omega(\alpha,\beta) = \mathbb{C}$, we obtain some uniqueness results for holomorphic curves on complex plane as following:

Corollary 3. *Let* $f, g : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ *be two algebraically nondegenerate holomorphic curves, and* n *be a integer with* $n > N(d+N+3)$. *Let* D *be a hypersurface as the same Theorem 5. Suppose that* $f(z) = g(z)$ *on* $f^{-1}(D) \cup g^{-1}(D)$, *then* $f \equiv g$.

By using method of Ru [14] and Ru et. al. [2], we are easy to get some results as follows:

Theorem 8. *Let* $V \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ *be a complex projective variety of dimension* $n \geq 1$. *Let* $D_1, \ldots, D_q$ *be hypersurfaces in* $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ *of degree* d_j, *located in general position on* V. *Let* d *be the least common multiple of the* d_i, $i = 1, \ldots, q$. *Let* $f : \Omega(\alpha,\beta) \to V$ *be an algebraically non-degenerate holomorphic map. Let* $\varepsilon > 0$ *and*

$$M \geq \frac{n^n d^{n^2+n}(19nI(\varepsilon^{-1}))^n(\deg V)^{n+1}}{n!},$$

where $I(x) := \min\{k \in \mathbb{N} : k > x\}$ *for a positive real number* x. *Then*

$$(q(1-\varepsilon/3)-(n+1)-\varepsilon/3)\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r) \leqslant \sum_{l=1}^{q} d_l^{-1}\mathfrak{N}^M{}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,Q_l)+O(\log \mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r)+\log r)$$

holds for all $r \in (0,+\infty)$ *outside a set of finite measure.*

Theorem 9. *Let* $V \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ *be a complex projective variety of dimension* $n \geq 1$. *Let* $D_1,\ldots,D_q$ *be hypersurfaces in* $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ *of degree* d_j, *located in general position on* V. *Let* d *be the least common multiple of the* d_i, $i = 1,\ldots,q$. *Let* $f : \mathbb{C} \to V$ *be an algebraically non-degenerate holomorphic map. Let* $\varepsilon > 0$ *and*

$$M \geq \frac{n^n d^{n^2+n}(19nI(\varepsilon^{-1}))^n(\deg V)^{n+1}}{n!},$$

where $I(x) := \min\{k \in \mathbb{N} : k > x\}$ *for a positive real number* x. *Then*

$$(q(1-\varepsilon/3)-(n+1)-\varepsilon/3)S_{\alpha\beta,f}(r) \leqslant \sum_{l=1}^{q} d_l^{-1}C^M_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,Q_l)+O(\log T_f(r)+\log r)$$

holds for all $r \in (0,+\infty)$ *outside a set of finite measure.*

2. Some preliminaries in angular Nevanlinna theory for meromorphic functions

First, we remind some definitions which is contained the book of A. A. Goldberg and I. V. Ostrovskii. We consider the set

$$\Omega(\alpha,\beta;r) = \Omega(\alpha,\beta) \cap \{1 < |z| < r\}.$$

Let f be a meromorphic function on the angle $\overline{\Omega}(\alpha,\beta;r)$, $0 < \beta-\alpha \leq 2\pi$, $1 \leq r < \infty$. We recall that

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{\alpha\beta}(r,f) &= \frac{k}{\pi}\int_1^r (\frac{1}{t^k}-\frac{t^k}{r^{2k}})[\log^+|f(te^{i\alpha})|+\log^+|f(te^{i\beta})|]\frac{dt}{t};\\
B_{\alpha\beta}(r,f) &= \frac{2k}{\pi r^k}\int_\alpha^\beta \log^+|f(re^{i\varphi})|.sin(k(\varphi-\alpha))d\varphi;\\
C_{\alpha\beta}(r,f) &= 2k\int_1^r c_{\alpha\beta}(r,f)(\frac{1}{t^k}+\frac{t^k}{r^{2k}})\frac{dt}{t}\\
&= 2\sum_{1\leq\rho_n\leq r,\alpha\leq\psi_n\leq\beta}(\frac{1}{{\rho_n}^k}-\frac{{\rho_n}^k}{r^{2k}})sin\ \ k(\psi_n-\alpha),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$c_{\alpha\beta}(r,f) = \sum_{1<\rho_n\leq r,\alpha\leq\psi_n\leq\beta} sin(k(\psi_n-\alpha)),$$

and $\rho_n e^{i\psi_n}$ are poles of $f(z)$ counted according with multiplicity. We denote $S_{\alpha\beta}(r,f)$ by the angular Nevanlinna characteristics on $\overline{\Omega}(\alpha,\beta;r)$ and defined as following:

$$S_{\alpha\beta}(r,f) = A_{\alpha\beta}(r,f) + B_{\alpha\beta}(r,f) + C_{\alpha\beta}(r,f).$$

In order to prove theorems, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. [6]**(Carleman formula)** *Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function in $\overline{\Omega}(\alpha,\beta;r)$. Then*

$$C_{\alpha\beta}(r,\frac{1}{f}) - C_{\alpha\beta}(r,f) = \frac{k}{\pi}\int_1^r (\frac{1}{t^k} - \frac{t^k}{r^{2k}})[\log|f(te^{i\alpha})| + \log|f(te^{i\beta})|]\frac{dt}{t} + \frac{2k}{\pi r^k}\int_\alpha^\beta \log|f(re^{i\varphi})|.sin(k(\varphi-\alpha))d\varphi + O(1).$$

For any pair of real numbers α and β in $[0,2\pi)$ with $0 < \beta - \alpha \leq 2\pi$,

$$\Xi(\alpha,\beta;r) = \{z = te^{i\theta} : \alpha < \theta < \beta, 1 < t \leq r(sin(k(\theta-\alpha)))^{1/k}\}.$$

Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function on $\Omega(\alpha,\beta)$. We define

$$\mathfrak{N}_{\alpha\beta}(r,f) = k\int_1^r \frac{\mathfrak{n}_{\alpha\beta}(t,f)}{t^{k+1}}dt = \sum_{1<|b_n|<r(sin(k(\theta-\alpha)))^{1/k}} (\frac{sink(\beta_n-\alpha)}{|b_n|^k} - \frac{1}{r^k}),$$

where the $\mathfrak{n}_{\alpha\beta}(t,f)$ are the number poles of f in the set $\Xi(\alpha,\beta;t)$ counting with multiplicity and $b_n = |b_n|e^{i\beta_n}$ are poles of f in the set $\Xi(\alpha,\beta;t)$.

The *proximity function* of f on $\Omega(\alpha,\beta)$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{m}_{\alpha\beta}(r,f) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{arcsinr^{-k}}^{\pi-arcsinr^{-k}} \log^+|f(rsin^{k^{-1}}\varphi e^{i(\alpha+k^{-1}\varphi)})|\frac{d\varphi}{r^k sin^2\varphi}.$$

The *characteristic function* $\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta}(r,f)$ of f on $\Omega(\alpha,\beta)$ is defined by

$$\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta}(r,f) = \mathfrak{m}_{\alpha\beta}(r,f) + \mathfrak{N}_{\alpha\beta}(r,f).$$

Lemma 2. [19] *Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function in $\Omega(\alpha,\beta)$. Then*

$$\mathfrak{N}_{\alpha\beta}(r,\frac{1}{f}) - \mathfrak{N}_{\alpha\beta}(r,f) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{arcsinr^{-k}}^{\pi-arcsinr^{-k}} \log|f(rsin^{k^{-1}}\varphi e^{i(\alpha+k^{-1}\varphi)})|\frac{d\varphi}{r^k sin^2\varphi} + O(1).$$

Lemma 3. [6] *Let k be a natural number and f be nonconstant meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}$. Then we have the estimate*

$$S_{\alpha\beta}(r,\frac{f^{(k)}}{f}) \leq O(\log T(r,f) + \log r)$$

holds for $1 < r < \infty$ outside a set of finite measure.

Lemma 4. [19] *Let k be a natural number and f be nonconstant meromorphic function on $\Omega(\alpha,\beta)$. Then we have the estimate*

$$\mathfrak{m}_{\alpha\beta}(r,\frac{f^{(k)}}{f})\leq O(\log\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta}(r,f)+\log r)$$

holds for $1<r<\infty$ outside a set of finite measure.

3. Proofs of Theorems

Proof of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2. First, we prove the Theorem 1. Note that $C_{\alpha\beta}(r,Q(f))=0$. By the definitions of $S_{\alpha\beta,f}(r)$, $A_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,D)$, $B_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,D)$ and apply to Lemma 1 for $Q(f)\not\equiv 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}C_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,D)&=\frac{k}{\pi}\int_1^r(\frac{1}{t^k}-\frac{t^k}{r^{2k}})[\log|Q(f)(te^{i\alpha})|+\log|Q(f)(te^{i\beta})|]\frac{dt}{t}\\&\quad+\frac{2k}{\pi r^k}\int_\alpha^\beta\log|Q(f)(re^{i\varphi})|.sin(k(\varphi-\alpha))d\varphi+O(1).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&A_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,D)+B_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,D)+C_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,D)\\&\quad=\frac{k}{\pi}\int_1^r(\frac{1}{t^k}-\frac{t^k}{r^{2k}})\log\frac{\|f(te^{i\alpha})\|^d\|f(te^{i\beta})\|^d}{|Q(f)(te^{i\alpha})Q(f)(re^{i\beta})|}\frac{dt}{t}\\&\qquad+\frac{2k}{\pi r^k}\int_\alpha^\beta\log\frac{\|f(re^{i\varphi})\|^d}{|Q(f)(re^{i\varphi})|}sin(k(\varphi-\alpha))d\varphi\\&\qquad+\frac{k}{\pi}\int_1^r(\frac{1}{t^k}-\frac{t^k}{r^{2k}})[\log|Q(f)(te^{i\alpha})|+\log|Q(f)(te^{i\beta})|]\frac{dt}{t}\\&\qquad+\frac{2k}{\pi r^k}\int_\alpha^\beta\log|Q(f)(re^{i\varphi})|.sin(k(\varphi-\alpha))d\varphi+O(1)\\&=\frac{k}{\pi}\int_1^r(\frac{1}{t^k}-\frac{t^k}{r^{2k}})\log\|f(te^{i\alpha})\|^d\|f(te^{i\beta})\|^d\frac{dt}{t}\\&\quad+\frac{2k}{\pi r^k}\int_\alpha^\beta\log\|f(re^{i\varphi})\|^d.sin(k(\varphi-\alpha))d\varphi+O(1)\\&=dS_{\alpha\beta,f}(r)+O(1).\end{aligned}$$

This is conclusion of Theorem 1.

The end, we prove Theorem 2. We have $\mathfrak{N}_{\alpha\beta}(r,Q(f))=0$. By the definitions of $\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r)$, $\mathfrak{m}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,D)$, $\mathfrak{N}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,D)$ and apply to Lemma 2 for $Q(f)\not\equiv 0$, we have

$$\mathfrak{N}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,D)=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{arcsinr^{-k}}^{\pi-arcsinr^{-k}}\log|Q(f)(rsin^{k^{-1}}\varphi e^{i(\alpha+k^{-1}\varphi)})|\frac{d\varphi}{r^k sin^2\varphi}+O(1).$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathfrak{m}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,D)+\mathfrak{N}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,D)\\
&\qquad=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{arcsinr^{-k}}^{\pi-arcsinr^{-k}}\log|Q(f)(rsin^{k^{-1}}\varphi e^{i(\alpha+k^{-1}\varphi)})|\frac{d\varphi}{r^k sin^2\varphi}\\
&\qquad\quad+\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{arcsinr^{-k}}^{\pi-arcsinr^{-k}}\log\frac{\|f(rsin^{k^{-1}}\varphi e^{i(\alpha+k^{-1}\varphi)})\|^d}{|Q(f)(rsin^{k^{-1}}\varphi e^{i(\alpha+k^{-1}\varphi)})|}\frac{d\varphi}{r^k sin^2\varphi}+O(1)\\
&\qquad=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{arcsinr^{-k}}^{\pi-arcsinr^{-k}}\log\|f(rsin^{k^{-1}}\varphi e^{i(\alpha+k^{-1}\varphi)})\|^d\frac{d\varphi}{r^k sin^2\varphi}+O(1)\\
&\qquad=d\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r)+O(1).
\end{aligned}$$

We have completed the proof of Theorem 2.

□

In order to prove the Theorem 3, and Theorem 4, we need some lemmas. First we recall the property of Wronskian.

Let $f_0,f_1,\ldots,f_n$ be meromorphic functions on complex plane $\mathbb{C}$, then Wronskian of $f_0,f_1,\ldots,f_n$ is defined by

$$W(f_0,\ldots,f_n)=\begin{vmatrix} f_0(z) & f_1(z) & \cdot & f_n(z)\\ f_0'(z) & f_1'(z) & \cdot & f_n'(z)\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ f_0^{(n)}(z) & f_1^{(n)}(z) & \cdot & f_n^{(n)}(z)\end{vmatrix}.$$

Lemma 5. [9] *Let $f_0,f_1,\ldots,f_n$ be meromorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}$, then*

$$W(f_0,f_1,\ldots,f_n)=f_0^{n+1}W(1,\frac{f_1}{f_0},\ldots,\frac{f_n}{f_0}).$$

Let $f=(f_0:\cdots:f_n):\mathbb{C}\to\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be holomorophic curve, then Wronskian of f is defined by

$$W=W(f)=W(f_0,\ldots,f_n).$$

We denote $C_{\alpha\beta,W}(r,0)$ by the counting function in zeros of $W(f_0,\ldots,f_n)$ in $\overline{\Omega}(\alpha,\beta)$, this means

$$C_{\alpha\beta,W}(r,0)=C_{\alpha\beta}(r,\frac{1}{W})+O(1).$$

We use the notation $\mathfrak{N}_W(r,0)$ talking the counting function in zeros of $W(f_0,\ldots,f_n)$ in $\mathrm{Im}z\geq 0$, namely

$$\mathfrak{N}_W(r,0)=\mathfrak{N}(r,\frac{1}{W})+O(1).$$

We call $\mathfrak{N}_{\alpha\beta,W}(r,0)$ the counting function in zeros of $W(f_0,\ldots,f_n)$ in $\Omega(\alpha,\beta)$, namely

$$\mathfrak{N}_{\alpha\beta,W}(r,0)=\mathfrak{N}_{\alpha\beta}(r,\frac{1}{W})+O(1).$$

Let $L_0, \dots, L_n$ are linearly independent forms of $z_0, \dots, z_n$. For $j = 0, \dots, n$, set

$$F_j(z) := L_j(f(z)).$$

By the property of Wronskian there exists a constant $C \neq 0$ such that

$$|W(F_0, \dots, F_n)| = C|W(f_0, \dots, f_n)|.$$

Lemma 6. *Let $f = (f_0 : \cdots : f_n) : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a linearly non-degenerate holomorphic curve and $H_1, \dots, H_q$ be hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ in general position. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \| \quad & \frac{k}{\pi}\int_1^r (\frac{1}{t^k} - \frac{t^k}{r^{2k}}) \max_K \sum_{j\in K} \log \frac{\|f(te^{i\alpha})\|}{|(a_j, f)(te^{i\alpha})|}\frac{dt}{t} \\ & + \frac{k}{\pi}\int_1^r (\frac{1}{t^k} - \frac{t^k}{r^{2k}}) \max_K \sum_{j\in K} \log \frac{\|f(te^{i\beta})\|}{|(a_j, f)(te^{i\beta})|}\frac{dt}{t} \\ & + \frac{2k}{\pi r^k}\int_\alpha^\beta \max_K \sum_{j\in K} \log \frac{\|f(re^{i\varphi})\|}{|(a_j, f)(re^{i\varphi})|} sin(k(\varphi - \alpha))d\varphi \\ & \leqslant (n+1)S_{\alpha\beta,f}(r) - C_{\alpha\beta,W}(r, 0) + O(\log T_f(r) + \log r). \end{aligned}$$

Here the maximum is taken over all subsets K of $\{1, \dots, q\}$ such that a_j, $j \in K$, are linearly independent.

Proof. First, we prove

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_\alpha^\beta \max_K \sum_{j\in K} \log \frac{\|f(re^{i\varphi})\|}{|(a_j, f)(re^{i\varphi})|} sin(k(\varphi - \alpha))d\varphi \\ & \quad \leq (n+1)\int_\alpha^\beta \log \|f(re^{i\varphi})\|.sin(k(\varphi - \alpha))d\varphi \\ & \quad\quad - (n+1)\int_\alpha^\beta \log |W(f_0, \dots, f_n)(re^{i\varphi})|.sin(k(\varphi - \alpha))d\varphi \\ & \quad\quad + O(\log T_f(r) + \log r). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Let $K \subset \{1, \dots, q\}$ such that $a_j, j \in K$, are linearly independent. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $q \geqslant n+1$ and $\#K = n+1$. Let $\mathcal{T}$ is the set of

all injective maps $\mu : \{0, 1, \dots, n\} \to \{1, \dots, q\}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\alpha^\beta \max_K \sum_{j\in K} \log \frac{\|f(re^{i\varphi})\|}{|(a_j, f)(re^{i\varphi})|}.sin(k(\varphi-\alpha))d\varphi \\
&\qquad = \int_\alpha^\beta \max_{\mu\in\mathcal{T}} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \log \frac{\|f(re^{i\varphi})\|}{|(a_{\mu(j)}, f)(re^{i\varphi})|}.sin(k(\varphi-\alpha))d\varphi \\
&\qquad = \int_\alpha^\beta \log \left\{ \max_{\mu\in\mathcal{T}} \frac{\|f(re^{i\varphi})\|^{n+1}}{\prod\limits_{j=0}^{n} |(a_{\mu(j)}, f)(re^{i\varphi})|} \right\} sin(k(\varphi-\alpha))d\varphi + O(1) \\
&\qquad \leqslant \int_\alpha^\beta \log \sum_{\mu\in\mathcal{T}} \frac{\|f(re^{i\varphi})\|^{n+1}}{\prod\limits_{j=0}^{n} |(a_{\mu(j)}, f)(re^{i\varphi})|} sin(k(\varphi-\alpha))d\varphi + O(1).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\alpha^\beta \max_K \sum_{j\in K} \log \frac{\|f(re^{i\varphi})\|}{|(a_j, f)(re^{i\varphi})|} sin(k(\varphi-\alpha))d\varphi \\
&\quad \leq \int_\alpha^\beta \log \sum_{\mu\in\mathcal{T}} \frac{|W((a_{\mu(0)}, f), \dots, (a_{\mu(n)}, f))(re^{i\varphi})|}{\prod\limits_{j=0}^{n} |(a_{\mu(j)}, f)(re^{i\varphi})|} sin(k(\varphi-\alpha))d\varphi \\
&\qquad + \int_\alpha^\beta \log \sum_{\mu\in\mathcal{T}} \frac{\|f(re^{i\varphi})\|^{n+1}}{|W((a_{\mu(0)}, f), \dots, (a_{\mu(n)}, f))(re^{i\varphi})|} sin(k(\varphi-\alpha))d\varphi + O(1).
\end{aligned}$$

By the property of Wronskian, we see that

$$|W((a_{\mu(0)}, f), \dots, (a_{\mu(n)}, f))| = C|W(f_0, \dots, f_n)|,$$

where $C \neq 0$ is constant.

Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\alpha^\beta \max_K \sum_{j\in K} \log \frac{\|f(re^{i\varphi})\|}{|(a_j, f)(re^{i\varphi})|} sin(k(\varphi-\alpha))d\varphi \\
&\qquad \leqslant \int_\alpha^\beta \log \sum_{\mu\in T} \frac{|W((a_{\mu(0)}, f), \dots, (a_{\mu(n)}, f))(re^{i\varphi})|}{\prod\limits_{j=0}^{n} |(a_{\mu(j)}, f)(re^{i\varphi})|} sin(k(\varphi-\alpha))d\varphi \\
&\qquad + \int_\alpha^\beta \log \frac{\|f(re^{i\varphi})\|^{n+1}}{|W(f_0, \dots, f_n)(re^{i\varphi})|} sin(k(\varphi-\alpha))d\varphi + O(1). \qquad (3.2)
\end{aligned}$$

Take

$$g_{\mu(j)} = \frac{(a_{\mu(j)}, f)}{(a_{\mu(0)}, f)}, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Apply to Lemma 5, we have

$$\frac{W((a_{\mu(0)},f),\dots,(a_{\mu(n)},f))}{\prod\limits_{j=0}^{n}(a_{\mu(j)},f)}=\frac{W(1,\frac{(a_{\mu(1)},f)}{(a_{\mu(0)},f)},\dots,\frac{(a_{\mu(n)},f)}{(a_{\mu(0)},f)})}{\frac{(a_{\mu(1)},f)}{(a_{\mu(0)},f)}\dots\frac{(a_{\mu(n)},f)}{(a_{\mu(0)},f)}}$$

$$=\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 0 & \frac{g'_{\mu(1)}}{g_{\mu(1)}} & \dots & \frac{g'_{\mu(n)}}{g_{\mu(n)}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \frac{g^{(n)}_{\mu(1)}}{g_{\mu(1)}} & \dots & \frac{g^{(n)}_{\mu(n)}}{g_{\mu(n)}} \end{vmatrix}. \tag{3.3}$$

For each $j\in\{1,\dots,n\}$ and $k\in\mathbb{N}^*$, using Lemma 3, we have the inequality as following

$$\| \quad B_{\alpha\beta}(r,\frac{g^{(k)}_{\mu(j)}}{g_{\mu(j)}})\leq S_{\alpha\beta}\left(r,\frac{g^{(k)}_{\mu(j)}}{g_{\mu(j)}}\right)\leqslant O(\log r+\log T(r,g_{\mu(j)})). \tag{3.4}$$

Futhermore $T(r,g_{\mu(j)})\leq T_f(r)+O(1)$. Then from (3.4), we have

$$\| \quad B_{\alpha\beta}(r,\frac{g^{(k)}_{\mu(j)}}{g_{\mu(j)}})\leqslant O(\log r+\log T_f(r)).$$

Hence for any $\mu\in\mathcal{T}$ and from (3.3), we have

$$\| \quad \int_\alpha^\beta \log^+\frac{|W((a_{\mu(0)},f),\dots,(a_{\mu(n)},f))(re^{i\varphi})|}{\prod\limits_{j=0}^{n}|(a_{\mu(j)},f)(re^{i\varphi})|}sin(k(\varphi-\alpha))d\varphi$$
$$\leqslant O(\log r+\log T_f(r)).$$

This implies that

(3.5)

$$\begin{aligned}\| \quad \int_\alpha^\beta \log \sum_{\mu\in\mathcal{T}} \frac{|W((a_{\mu(0)},f),\dots,(a_{\mu(n)},f))(re^{i\varphi})|}{\prod_{j=0}^{n}|(a_{\mu(j)},f)(re^{i\varphi})|} sin(k(\varphi-\alpha))d\varphi \\ \leqslant \int_\alpha^\beta \log^+ \sum_{\mu\in\mathcal{T}} \frac{|W((a_{\mu(0)},f),\dots,(a_{\mu(n)},f))(re^{i\varphi})|}{\prod_{j=0}^{n}|(a_{\mu(j)},f)(re^{i\varphi})|} sin(k(\varphi-\alpha))d\varphi \\ \leqslant \sum_{\mu\in\mathcal{T}} \int_\alpha^\beta \log^+ \frac{|W((a_{\mu(0)},f),\dots,(a_{\mu(n)},f))(re^{i\varphi})|}{\prod_{j=0}^{n}|(a_{\mu(j)},f)(re^{i\varphi})|} sin(k(\varphi-\alpha))d\varphi + O(1) \\ \leqslant O(\log r + \log T_f(r)).\end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.2) and (3.5), we get the inequality (3.1). Similarly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_1^r \max_K \sum_{j\in K}(\frac{1}{t^k}-\frac{t^k}{r^{2k}})\log\frac{\|f(te^{i\alpha})\|}{|(a_j,f)(te^{i\alpha})|}\frac{dt}{t} \\ &\quad\leq (n+1)\int_1^r(\frac{1}{t^k}-\frac{t^k}{r^{2k}})\log\|f(te^{i\alpha})\|\frac{dt}{t} \\ &\quad\quad-(n+1)\int_1^r(\frac{1}{t^k}-\frac{t^k}{r^{2k}})\log|W(f_0,\dots,f_n)(te^{i\alpha})|.\frac{dt}{t} \\ &\quad\quad+O(\log T_f(r)+\log r). \qquad (3.6)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_1^r \max_K \sum_{j\in K}(\frac{1}{t^k}-\frac{t^k}{r^{2k}})\log\frac{\|f(te^{i\beta})\|}{|(a_j,f)(te^{i\beta})|}\frac{dt}{t} \\ &\leq (n+1)\int_1^r(\frac{1}{t^k}-\frac{t^k}{r^{2k}})\log\|f(te^{i\beta})\|\frac{dt}{t} \\ &\quad-(n+1)\int_1^r(\frac{1}{t^k}-\frac{t^k}{r^{2k}})\log|W(f_0,\dots,f_n)(te^{i\beta})|.\frac{dt}{t} \\ &\quad+O(\log T_f(r)+\log r). \qquad (3.7)\end{aligned}$$

We may obtain the conclusion of Lemma 6 by adding (3.1), (3.6) and (3.7) and note that

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\alpha\beta,W}(r,0) = \frac{2k}{\pi r^k}\int_\alpha^\beta \log|W(f_0,\dots,f_n)(re^{i\varphi})|.sin(k(\varphi-\alpha))d\varphi \\ + \frac{k}{\pi}\int_1^r (\frac{1}{t^k}-\frac{t^k}{r^{2k}})\log|W(f_0,\dots,f_n)(te^{i\alpha})|.\frac{dt}{t} \\ + \frac{k}{\pi}\int_1^r (\frac{1}{t^k}-\frac{t^k}{r^{2k}})\log|W(f_0,\dots,f_n)(te^{i\beta})|.\frac{dt}{t}. \end{aligned}$$

We have completed the proof of this lemma. □

Lemma 7. *Let $f=(f_0:\cdots:f_n):\mathbb{C}\longrightarrow\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a linearly non-degenerate holomorphic curve and $H_1,\dots,H_q$ be hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ in general position. Let a_j be the vector associated with H_j for $j=1,\dots,q$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=1}^q [A_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,H_j)+B_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,H_j)] \\ &\leqslant \frac{2k}{\pi r^k}\int_\alpha^\beta (\frac{1}{t^k}-\frac{t^k}{r^{2k}})\max_K\sum_{j\in K}\log\frac{\|f(re^{i\varphi})\|}{|(a_j,f)(re^{i\varphi})|}sin(k(\varphi-\alpha))d\varphi \\ &+ \frac{k}{\pi}\int_1^r (\frac{1}{t^k}-\frac{t^k}{r^{2k}})\max_K\sum_{j\in K}\log\frac{\|f(te^{i\alpha})\|}{|(a_j,f)(te^{i\alpha})|}\frac{dt}{t} \\ &+ \frac{k}{\pi}\int_1^r (\frac{1}{t^k}-\frac{t^k}{r^{2k}})\max_K\sum_{j\in K}\log\frac{\|f(te^{i\beta})\|}{|(a_j,f)(te^{i\beta})|}\frac{dt}{t}+O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $a_j=(a_0^j,\dots,a_n^j)$ be the associated vector of H_j, $1\leqslant j\leqslant q$, and let $\mathcal{T}$ be the set of all injective maps $\mu:\{0,1,\dots,n\}\longrightarrow\{1,\dots,q\}$. By hypothesis, $H_1,\dots,H_q$ are in general position for any $\mu\in\mathcal{T}$, then the vectors $a_{\mu(0)},\dots,a_{\mu(n)}$ are linearly independent.

Let $\mu\in T$, we have

$$(f,a_{\mu(t)}) = a_0^{\mu(t)}f_0+\cdots+a_n^{\mu(t)}f_n,\ t=0,1,\dots,n. \tag{3.8}$$

Solve the system of linear equations (3.8), we get

$$f_t = b_0^{\mu(t)}(a_0^{\mu(t)},f)+\cdots+b_n^{\mu(t)}(a_n^{\mu(t)},f),\ t=0,1,\dots,n,$$

where $\left(b_j^{\mu(t)}\right)_{t,j=0}^n$ is the inverse matrix of $\left(a_j^{\mu(t)}\right)_{t,j=0}^n$. So there is a constant C_μ satisfying

$$\|f(z)\|\leqslant C_\mu\max_{0\leqslant t\leqslant n}|(a_{\mu(t)},f)(z)|.$$

Set $C = \max\limits_{\mu\in\mathcal{T}} C_\mu$. Then for any $\mu \in \mathcal{T}$, we have

$$\|f(z)\| \leqslant C \max_{0\leqslant t\leqslant n} |(a_{\mu(t)}, f)(z)|.$$

For any $z \in \overline{\Omega}(\alpha, \beta)$, there exists the mapping $\mu \in \mathcal{T}$ such that

$$0 < |(a_{\mu(0)}, f)(z)| \leqslant |(a_{\mu(1)}, f)(z)| \leqslant \ldots. \leqslant |(a_{\mu(n)}, f)(z)| \leqslant |(a_j, f)(z)|,$$

for $j \notin \{\mu(0), \ldots, \mu(n)\}$. Hence

$$\prod_{j=1}^{q} \frac{\|f(z)\|}{|(a_j, f)(z)|} \leqslant C^{q-n-1} \max_{\mu\in\mathcal{T}} \prod_{t=0}^{n} \frac{\|f(z)\|}{|(a_{\mu(t)}, f)(z)|}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=1}^{q}[A_{\alpha\beta,f}(r, H_j) + B_{\alpha\beta,f}(r, H_j)] \\
&\quad = \sum_{j=1}^{q} \frac{2k}{\pi r^k} \int_\alpha^\beta (\frac{1}{t^k} - \frac{t^k}{r^{2k}}) \log \frac{\|f(re^{i\varphi})\|}{|(a_j, f)(re^{i\varphi})|} sin(k(\varphi - \alpha))d\varphi \\
&\qquad + \sum_{j=1}^{q} \frac{k}{\pi} \int_1^r (\frac{1}{t^k} - \frac{t^k}{r^{2k}}) \log \frac{\|f(te^{i\alpha})\|}{|(a_j, f)(te^{i\alpha})|} \frac{dt}{t} \\
&\qquad + \sum_{j=1}^{q} \frac{k}{\pi} \int_1^r (\frac{1}{t^k} - \frac{t^k}{r^{2k}}) \log \frac{\|f(te^{i\beta})\|}{|(a_j, f)(te^{i\beta})|} \frac{dt}{t}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{2k}{\pi r^k} \int_\alpha^\beta (\frac{1}{t^k} - \frac{t^k}{r^{2k}}) \log \prod_{j=1}^{q} \frac{\|f(re^{i\varphi})\|}{|(a_j, f)(re^{i\varphi})|} sin(k(\varphi - \alpha))d\varphi \\
&\quad + \frac{k}{\pi} \int_1^r (\frac{1}{t^k} - \frac{t^k}{r^{2k}}) \log \prod_{j=1}^{q} \frac{\|f(te^{i\alpha})\|}{|(a_j, f)(te^{i\alpha})|} \frac{dt}{t} \\
&\quad + \frac{k}{\pi} \int_1^r (\frac{1}{t^k} - \frac{t^k}{r^{2k}}) \log \prod_{j=1}^{q} \frac{\|f(te^{i\beta})\|}{|(a_j, f)(te^{i\beta})|} \frac{dt}{t}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=1}^{q}[A_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,H_j)+B_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,H_j)]\\
&\qquad\leq \frac{2k}{\pi r^k}\int_\alpha^\beta(\frac{1}{t^k}-\frac{t^k}{r^{2k}})\log\max_{\mu\in\mathcal{T}}\prod_{t=0}^{n}\frac{\|f(re^{i\varphi})\|}{|(a_{\mu(t)},f)(re^{i\varphi})|}sin(k(\varphi-\alpha))d\varphi\\
&\qquad\quad+\frac{k}{\pi}\int_1^r(\frac{1}{t^k}-\frac{t^k}{r^{2k}})\log\max_{\mu\in\mathcal{T}}\prod_{t=0}^{n}\frac{\|f(te^{i\alpha})\|}{|(a_{\mu(t)},f)(te^{i\alpha})|}\frac{dt}{t}\\
&\qquad\quad+\frac{k}{\pi}\int_1^r(\frac{1}{t^k}-\frac{t^k}{r^{2k}})\log\max_{\mu\in\mathcal{T}}\prod_{t=0}^{n}\frac{\|f(te^{i\beta})\|}{|(a_{\mu(t)},f)(te^{i\beta})|}\frac{dt}{t}+O(1).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=1}^{q}[A_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,H_j)+B_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,H_j)]\\
&\qquad\leq \frac{2k}{\pi r^k}\int_\alpha^\beta(\frac{1}{t^k}-\frac{t^k}{r^{2k}})\max_{K}\sum_{j\in K}\log\frac{\|f(re^{i\varphi})\|}{|(a_j,f)(re^{i\varphi})|}sin(k(\varphi-\alpha))d\varphi\\
&\qquad\quad+\frac{k}{\pi}\int_1^r(\frac{1}{t^k}-\frac{t^k}{r^{2k}})\max_{K}\sum_{j\in K}\log\frac{\|f(te^{i\alpha})\|}{|(a_j,f)(te^{i\alpha})|}\frac{dt}{t}\\
&\qquad\quad+\frac{k}{\pi}\int_1^r(\frac{1}{t^k}-\frac{t^k}{r^{2k}})\max_{K}\sum_{j\in K}\log\frac{\|f(te^{i\beta})\|}{|(a_j,f)(te^{i\beta})|}\frac{dt}{t}+O(1).
\end{aligned}$$

This is conclusion of Lemma 7. □

By argument as Lemma 6 and Lemma 7, we are easy to get results as following:

Lemma 8. *Let $f=(f_0:\cdots:f_n):\Omega(\alpha,\beta)\to\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a linearly non-degenerate holomorphic curve and $H_1,\ldots,H_q$ be hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ in general position. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\quad\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{arcsinr^{-k}}^{\pi-arcsinr^{-k}}\max_{K}\sum_{j\in K}\log\frac{\|f(rsin^{k^{-1}}\varphi e^{i(\alpha+k^{-1}\varphi)})\|}{|(a_j,f)(rsin^{k^{-1}}\varphi e^{i(\alpha+k^{-1}\varphi)})|}\frac{d\varphi}{r^k sin^2\varphi}\\
\leqslant(n+1)\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r)-\mathfrak{N}_{\alpha\beta,W}(r,0)\\
+O(\log\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r)+\log r).
\end{aligned}$$

Here the maximum is taken over all subsets K of $\{1,\ldots,q\}$ such that a_j, $j\in K$, are linearly independent.

Lemma 9. *Let $f=(f_0:\cdots:f_n):\Omega(\alpha,\beta)\longrightarrow\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a linearly non-degenerate holomorphic curve and $H_1,\ldots,H_q$ be hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ in general*

position. Let a_j be the vector associated with H_j for $j = 1, \ldots, q$. Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{q} \mathfrak{m}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r, H_j) \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{arcsinr^{-k}}^{\pi - arcsinr^{-k}} \max_{K} \sum_{j\in K} \log \frac{\|f(rsin^{k^{-1}}\varphi e^{i(\alpha+k^{-1}\varphi)})\|}{|(a_j, f)(rsin^{k^{-1}}\varphi e^{i(\alpha+k^{-1}\varphi)})|} \frac{d\varphi}{r^k sin^2\varphi} + O(1).$$

Proof of Theorem 3 and Theorem 4. First, we prove the Theorem 3. Using Lemma 6 and Lemma 7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\| \sum_{j=1}^{q} [A_{\alpha\beta,f}(r, H_j) + B_{\alpha\beta,f}(r, H_j)] \\
&\quad \leq \frac{2k}{\pi r^k} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} (\frac{1}{t^k} - \frac{t^k}{r^{2k}}) \log \max_{\mu\in\mathcal{T}} \prod_{t=0}^{n} \frac{\|f(re^{i\varphi})\|}{|(a_{\mu(t)}, f)(re^{i\varphi})|} sin(k(\varphi - \alpha)) d\varphi \\
&\quad + \frac{k}{\pi} \int_{1}^{r} \log \max_{\mu\in\mathcal{T}} \prod_{t=0}^{n} \frac{\|f(te^{i\alpha})\|}{|(a_{\mu(t)}, f)(te^{i\alpha})|} \frac{dt}{t} \\
&\quad + \frac{k}{\pi} \int_{1}^{r} \log \max_{\mu\in\mathcal{T}} \prod_{t=0}^{n} \frac{\|f(te^{i\beta})\|}{|(a_{\mu(t)}, f)(te^{i\beta})|} \frac{dt}{t} + O(1) \\
&\quad \leq (n+1) S_{\alpha\beta,f}(r) - C_{\alpha\beta,W}(r, 0) + O(\log T_f(r) + \log r). \qquad (3.9)
\end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 1, we get that

$$S_{\alpha\beta,f}(r) = A_{\alpha\beta,f}(r, H_j) + B_{\alpha\beta,f}(r, H_j) + C_{\alpha\beta,f}(r, H_j) + O(1)$$

for any $j \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$. So from (3.9), we have

(3.10)

$$\| \quad (q - n - 1) S_{\alpha\beta,f}(r) \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{q} C_{\alpha\beta,f}(r, H_j) - C_{\alpha\beta,W}(r, 0) + O(\log r + \log T_f(r)).$$

For $z_0 \in \overline{\Omega}(\alpha, \beta)$, we may assume that (a_j, f) vanishes at z_0 for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant q_1$, (a_j, f) does not vanish at z_0 for $j > q_1$. Hence, there exists a integer k_j and nowhere vanishing holomorphic function g_j in neighborhood U of z such that

$$(a_j, f)(z) = (z - z_0)^{k_j} g_j(z), \text{ for } j = 1, \ldots, q,$$

here $k_j = 0$ for $q_1 < j \leqslant q$. We may assume that $k_j \geqslant n$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant q_0$, and $1 \leqslant k_j < n$ for $q_0 < j \leqslant q_1$. By property of the Wronskian, we have

$$W(f) = C.W((a_{\mu(0)}, f), \ldots, (a_{\mu(n)}, f)) = \prod_{j=1}^{q_0} (z - z_0)^{k_j - n} h(z),$$

where $h(z)$ is holomorphic function on U. Then $W(f)$ is vanishes at z_0 with order at least

$$\sum_{j=1}^{q_0}(k_j-n)=\sum_{j=1}^{q_0}k_j-q_0n.$$

By the definition of $C_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,H)$, $C_{\alpha\beta,W}(r,0)$ and $C^n_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,H)$, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{q}C_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,H_j)-C_{\alpha\beta,W}(r,0)\leqslant\sum_{j=1}^{q}C^n_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,H_j)+O(1).$$

So from (3.10), we have

$$\|\quad(q-n-1)S_{\alpha\beta,f}(r)\leqslant\sum_{j=1}^{q}C^n_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,H_j)+O(\log r+\log T_f(r)).$$

The proof of Theorem 3 is completed.

Next, we prove the Theorem 4. By Lemma 8 and Lemma 9, we have

(3.11)

$$\|\sum_{j=1}^{q}\mathfrak{m}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,H_j)\leq\quad\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{arcsinr^{-k}}^{\pi-arcsinr^{-k}}\max_K\sum_{j\in K}\log\frac{\|f(rsin^{k^{-1}}\varphi e^{i(\alpha+k^{-1}\varphi)})\|}{|(a_j,f)(rsin^{k^{-1}}\varphi e^{i(\alpha+k^{-1}\varphi)})|}\frac{d\varphi}{r^ksin^2\varphi}$$

$$\leqslant(n+1)\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r)-\mathfrak{N}_{\alpha\beta,W}(r,0)+O(\log\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r)+\log r).\tag{3.12}$$

Corollary 2 gives that

$$\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r)=\mathfrak{m}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,H_j)+\mathfrak{N}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,H_j)+O(1)$$

for any $j\in\{1,\ldots,q\}$. Hence from (3.11), we obtain

(3.13)

$$\|\quad(q-n-1)\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r)\leqslant\sum_{j=1}^{q}\mathfrak{N}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,H_j)-\mathfrak{N}_{\alpha\beta,W}(r,0)+O(\log r+\log\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r)).$$

For $z_0\in\Omega(\alpha,\beta;r)=\Omega(\alpha,\beta)\cap\{1<|z|<r\}$, we may suppose that (a_j,f) vanishes at z_0 for $1\leqslant j\leqslant q_1$, (a_j,f) does not vanish at z_0 for $j>q_1$. Hence, there exists a integer k_j and nowhere vanishing holomorphic function g_j in neighborhood U of z such that

$$(a_j,f)(z)=(z-z_0)^{k_j}g_j(z),\ \text{for } j=1,\ldots,q,$$

here $k_j=0$ for $q_1<j\leqslant q$. We may assume that $k_j\geqslant n$ for $1\leqslant j\leqslant q_0$, and $1\leqslant k_j<n$ for $q_0<j\leqslant q_1$. By property of the Wronskian, we have

$$W(f)=C.W((a_{\mu(0)},f),\ldots,(a_{\mu(n)},f))=\prod_{j=1}^{q_0}(z-z_0)^{k_j-n}h(z),$$

where $h(z)$ is holomorphic function on U. Then $W(f)$ is vanishes at z_0 with order at least

$$\sum_{j=1}^{q_0}(k_j-n)=\sum_{j=1}^{q_0}k_j-q_0n.$$

By the definition of $\mathfrak{N}_f(r,H),\mathfrak{N}_W(r,0)$ and $\mathfrak{N}_f^n(r,H)$, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{q}\mathfrak{N}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,H_j)-\mathfrak{N}_{\alpha\beta,W}(r,0)\leqslant\sum_{j=1}^{q}\mathfrak{N}^n_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,H_j)+O(1).$$

Thus from (3.13), we get the inequality

$$\|\quad(q-n-1)\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r)\leqslant\sum_{j=1}^{q}\mathfrak{N}^n_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,H_j)+O(\log r+\log\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r)).$$

This is statement of Theorem 4. □

Proof of Theorem 5. Let $\mathrm{f}=(f_0:\cdots:f_N)$ be a reduced representation of f, where $f_0,\ldots,f_N$ are entire functions on $\Omega(\alpha,\beta)$ and have no common zeros. We consider the function $\phi_i=Q_i\circ\mathrm{f}=Q_i(f_0,\ldots,f_N),0\leq i\leq N$. Let $F=(\phi_0f_0^n:\cdots:\phi_Nf_N^n)$. Since the hypersurfaces $\{\mathcal{H}_i^nQ_i=0\},0\leq i\leq N$, are located in general position in $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$, then $F:\Omega(\alpha,\beta)\to\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ is a holomorphic curve. Let $\mathfrak{H}_i,0\leq i\leq N$, be the hypersurface defined by $\{\mathcal{H}_i^nQ_i=0\},0\leq i\leq N$. From the hypothesis $\mathfrak{H}_0,\ldots,\mathfrak{H}_N$ are in general position, i.e.

$$\mathrm{supp}\mathfrak{H}_0\cap\cdots\cap\mathrm{supp}\mathfrak{H}_N=\emptyset.$$

Thus by Hilbert's Nullstellensatz [15], for any integer k, $0\leq k\leq N$, there is an integer $m_k>n+d$ such that

$$x_k^{m_k}=\sum_{i=0}^{N}b_i(x_0,\ldots,x_N)\mathcal{H}_i^n(x_0,\ldots,x_N)Q_i(x_0,\ldots,x_N),$$

where $b_0,\ldots,b_N$ are homogeneous forms with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}$ of degree $m_k-(n+d)$. This implies

$$|f_k(z)|^{m_k}\leq c_1||\mathrm{f}(z)||^{m_k-(n+d)}\max\{|\mathcal{H}_0^nQ_0(\mathrm{f}(z))|,\ldots,|\mathcal{H}_N^nQ_N(\mathrm{f}(z))|\},$$

where c_1 is a positive constant depending only on the coefficients of $b_i,0\leq i\leq N,0\leq k\leq N$, thus depending only on the coefficients of $Q_i,0\leq i\leq N$. Therefore,

$$||\mathrm{f}(z)||^{n+d}\leq c_1\max\{|\mathcal{H}_0^nQ_0(\mathrm{f}(z))|,\ldots,|\mathcal{H}_N^nQ_N(\mathrm{f}(z))|\}. \tag{3.14}$$

From (3.14) and the First Main Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta,F}(r) &\geq (n+d)\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r)+O(1)\\ &\geq (n+d-(N+1)d)\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r)+\sum_{i=0}^{N}\mathfrak{N}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,D_i)+O(1)\\ &= (n-Nd)\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r)+\sum_{i=0}^{N}\mathfrak{N}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,D_i)+O(1). \qquad (3.15)\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by applying Theorem 4 to F, and the hyperplanes

$$H_i=\{y_i=0\}, 0\leq i\leq N,$$

and

$$H_{N+1}=\{y_0+\cdots+y_N=0\}$$

yields

$$\|\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta,F}(r)\leq\sum_{i=0}^{N+1}\mathfrak{N}^{N}_{\alpha\beta,F}(r,H_i)+o(\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r)). \qquad (3.16)$$

We have

$$\mathfrak{N}^{N}_{\alpha\beta,F}(r,H_i)\leq\mathfrak{N}^{N}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,D_i)+\mathfrak{N}^{N}_{\alpha\beta}(r,\frac{1}{f_i^n})$$

for all $i=0,\ldots,N$, where $\mathfrak{N}^{N}(r,\dfrac{1}{g})$ is counting function with level of truncation N of g. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{N}^{N}_{\alpha\beta,F}(r,H_i) &\leq \mathfrak{N}^{N}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,D_i)+N\overline{\mathfrak{N}}_{\alpha\beta}(r,\frac{1}{f_i^n})\\ &\leq \mathfrak{N}^{N}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,D_i)+N\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r)+O(1) \qquad (3.17)\end{aligned}$$

for all $i=0,\ldots,N$. Also note $\mathfrak{N}^{N}_{\alpha\beta,F}(r,H_{N+1})=\mathfrak{N}^{N}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,D)$. By combining (3.15) to (3.17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|(n-(d+N+1)N)\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r)+\sum_{i=0}^{N}(\mathfrak{N}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,D_i)-\mathfrak{N}^{N}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,D_i))\\ \leq \mathfrak{N}^{N}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,D)+o(\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r)).\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 7. We suppose that $f\not\equiv g$, then there are two numbers $i,j\in\{0,\ldots,N\}$, $i\neq j$ such that $f_ig_j\not\equiv f_jg_i$. Assume that $z_0\in\Omega(\alpha,\beta)$ is a zero of $Q(f)$, where Q is a homogeneous defining D. From condition $f(z)=g(z)$ when

$z \in f^{-1}(D) \cup g^{-1}(D)$, we get $f(z_0) = g(z_0)$. This implies z_0 is a zero of $\dfrac{f_i}{f_j} - \dfrac{g_i}{g_j}$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{N}^N_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,D) \le N\mathfrak{N}^1_{\alpha\beta,f}(r,D) &\le N\mathfrak{N}_{\alpha\beta}(r, \frac{1}{\dfrac{f_i}{f_j} - \dfrac{g_i}{g_j}}) \\ &\le N(\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r) + \mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta,g}(r)) + O(1).\end{aligned}$$

Apply to Theorem 5, we obtain

$$\|(n - (d+N+1)N)\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r) \le N(\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r) + \mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta,g}(r)) + o(\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r)). \tag{3.18}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\|(n - (d+N+1)N)\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta,g}(r) \le N(\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r) + \mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta,g}(r)) + o(\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta,g}(r)). \tag{3.19}$$

Combining (3.18) and (3.19), we get

$$\|(n - (d+N+3)N)(\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r) + \mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta,g}(r)) \le o(\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta,f}(r)) + o(\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha\beta,g}(r)).$$

This is a contradiction with $n > (d+N+3)N$. Hence $f \equiv g$. □

Acknowledgements

The author thanks to the Proffesor Jian-Hua Zheng for very helpful comments and useful suggestions in this paper.

References

[1] H. Cartan, *Sur les zeros des combinaisions linearires de p fonctions holomorpes donnees,* Mathematica (Cluj). 7, 80-103, 1933.

[2] Z. Chen, M. Ru and Q. Yan, *The truncated Second main theorem and uniqueness theorems*, Sci. China. Math. 53(3), 605-616, 2010.

[3] W. Cherry and Z. Ye, Nevanlinna's Theory of Value Distribution: The Second Main Theorem and its Error Terms, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, 2001.

[4] D. Drasin and W. Hayman, *Value distribution of functions meromorphic in an angle,* Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 48(3), 319-340, 1984.

[5] M. Dulock and M. Ru, *A uniqueness theorem for holomorphic curves into encountering hypersurfaces in projective space,* Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations. 53, 797-802, 2008.

[6] A. A. Goldberg and V. Ostroskii, Value Distribution of Meromorphic Functions, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 236, 2008.

[7] E. V. Gleizer, *Meromorphic functions with zeros and poles in small angles,* Sib. Mat. Zh. 26 (1985), no. 4, 22-37, II, Sib. Mat. Zh. 31 (1990), no. 2, 9-20.

[8] W. K. Hayman, Meromorphic Functions, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.

[9] I. Laine, Nevanlinna Theory and Complex differential equation, W. de Gruyter, Berlin, 1993.

[10] H. T. Phuong, *On unique range sets for holomorphic maps sharing hypersurfaces without counting multiplicity,* Acta. Math. Vietnamica. 34, No. 3, 351-360, 2009.

[11] M. Ru, Nevanlinna theory and its relation to diophantime approximation, Word Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ldt, 2001.

[12] M. Ru, *A defect relation for holomorphic curves intersecting hypersurfaces*, Amer. Journal of Math. 126, 215-226, 2004.
[13] M. Ru, *On a general form of the second main theorem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 349, 5093-5105, 1997.
[14] M. Ru, *Holomorphic curves into algebraic varieties*, Annals of Mathematics. 169, 255-267, 2009.
[15] V. D. Waerden, Algebra, vol 2, 7th, ed., Spinger-Verlag, New York, 1991.
[16] N. V. Thin, *A note on Cartan's second main theorem for holomorphic curve intersecting hypersurface,* Submitted.
[17] M. Tsuji, Potential theory in modern function theory, Maruzen Co. LTD, Tokyo, 1959.
[18] L. Yang, L. Shi and X. C. Pang, *Remarks to Cartan's second main theorem for holomorphic curve into* $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$, To appear in Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.
[19] J. Zheng, Value Distribution of Meromorphic Functions, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2011.
[20] J. H. Zheng, *On transcendental meromorphic functions with radially distributed values,* Science in China, Series A. Math. 47 (2004), no. 3, 401-416.
[21] J. Zheng, *Value Distribution of Holomorphic Curves on an Angular Domain*, Michigan Math. J. 64, 849-879, 2015.
[22] Z. J. Wu, *On $T-$ direction of algebroid functions,* J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 47 (2007), no. 4, 767-779.

Department of Mathematics, Thai Nguyen University of Education, Luong Ngoc Quyen street, Thai Nguyen city, Viet Nam.
E-mail address: thinmath@gmail.com